IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.72133-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION:16.1.2007

Smt. Lali Devi & Ors. .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana .....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present:- Shri N.S. Shekhawat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Shri Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

****

The petitioners apprehending their arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No.163 dated 24.10.2005 under Sections 498-A, 306 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Narnaul have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for anticipatory bail.

I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners No.1 and 2 are mother-in-law and father-in-law of the deceased respectively and petitioner No.3 is the nephew of the husband of the deceased. Though, initially, they have been named in the FIR and against them the allegation of harrasment has been levelled, but during the investigation they were found innocent and they were kept in column No.2. Now on the statement made by complainant in the Court, they have been summoned under Section 319 Cr.P.C to face the trial along with the husband, who is the main accused. Counsel contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case.

Counsel for the petitioners further contends that in view of the order dated 17.11.2006, the petitioners have appeared before the trial Court and have furnished their regular bail bonds, which have been accepted and attested and since then they are regularly appearing before the trial Court.

In view of the above, the interim bail, granted vide order dated 17.11.2006 is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

January 16, 2007 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
pooja **JUDGE**